

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States I Fracent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS ONER FOR PATENTS P. D. B. 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/838,904 | 04/20/2001 | Coby Royer | | 1675 |
| 75 | 90 09/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Coby Royer | | | LEMMA, SAMSON B | |
| 7833 Stanford Avenue Saint Louis, MO 63130 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2132 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/838,904 | ROYER, COBY | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Samson B. Lemma | 2132 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | · | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | F | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of time) | Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- | | the statutory period of three months | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A baland | ce of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has ı | not been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as red Allowability (PTO-37). | quired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | nsmission dated), which is | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | ne attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferent of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| In a telephone conversation with Mr. Coby Royer of office action mailed on February 03, 2005 had bee | en filed. GILBERT SUPERVISORY | r indicated that no response to an TO BARRON TO PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER 2100 | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd | | | | | |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | D-4-(D 1) 0010 | | | |
| PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice | of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 09182005 | | | |

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 09182005